

Department of Energy

Carlsbad Field Office P. O. Box 3090 Carlsbad, New Mexico 88221

JUN 25 2004

Mr. Steve Zappe, WIPP Project Leader Hazardous Waste Permits Program Hazardous and Radioactive Materials Bureau New Mexico Environment Department 2905 E. Rodeo Park Drive, Bldg. 1 Santa Fe, NM 87505



Subject: Transmittal of Approved Change Notice Number 4 for Rocky Flats

Environmental Technology Site Waste Stream Profile Form Number

RF002.01 TRU Metal Debris Waste.

Dear Mr. Zappe:

The Carlsbad Field Office (CBFO) has approved the change notice number 4 for Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site (RFETS), Waste Stream Profile Form RF002.01. Enclosed is a copy of the approved form as required by Section B-4(b)(1) of the WIPP Hazardous Waste Facility Permit No. NM4890139088-TSDF.

If you have any questions on this matter, please contact me at (505) 234-7357 or (505) 706-0066.

Sincerely

Kerry W. Watson

CBFO Assistant Manager

Office of National TRU Program

Enclosure

cc: w/o enclosure

J. Kieling, NMED

C. Walker, TechLaw

M. Strum, WTS *ED

R. Chavez, WRES *ED

L. Greene, WRES *ED

S. Calvert, CTAC *ED

CBFO M&RC

*ED denotes Electronic Distribution



Update for WIPP Operating Record (Change Notice #4) TRU Metal Debris Waste (RF002.01)

Please add the following information to the WIPP Operating Record for: WSPF # RF002.01, Revision 3 as amended by WIPP operating record updates dated November 6, 2002, February 12, 2003 and April 30, 2003. This waste stream is TRU Metal Debris Wastes and was approved by DOE/CBFO on March 9, 2000. Please update related files as you deem appropriate.

The Waste Stream Profile Form (WSPF) is being revised. The WSPF components are bolded. The updates are:

1. Number of Drums: Change to 2015

2. Number of SWBs: Change to 518

The Acceptable Knowledge (AK) Summary attachment to the WSPF is being revised. The AK Summary components are bolded. The updates are:

- 1. Waste Stream Volume (Retrievably Stored): Revised category to specify 1320 55-gallon drums and 208 SWBs with dates of December 1982 September 2001.
- 2. Waste Stream Volume (Newly Generated): Added category to specify 681 55-gallon drums and 306 SWBs with dates of October 2001 May 2004.
- 3. Waste Stream Volume (Projected): Revised to specified 14 drums and 4 SWBs with dates of May 2004 October 2004.

TRU Metal Debris Waste: Added sentence about PK for flammable VOCs as follows: Process knowledge demonstatrates flammable VOCs in headspee <500 ppm: Yes.

Generating Processes:

Deleted table and changed first paragraph to read: Metal wastes have been generated by nearly every
operation on site. A detailed description of the waste generating processes and their process flow
diagrams can be found in the WSRIC Building Books or archived WSRIC files.

RCRA Characterization:

- Deleted table and changed first paragraph to read: This waste stream is NOT a mixed waste. The
 waste is generated from similar activities; is similar in material, physical form, and hazardous
 constituents; and is, therefore, considered a single waste stream. The specific BWR Baseline Book
 Subpopulations and WSRIC Process Numbers associated with the TRU Metal Debris waste stream
 are listed in the WEMS AK Waste Stream Summary for Profile Number RF002.01.⁶⁹
- Paragraph added to indicate screening for prohibited items:

Visual examination of waste contents at the time of packaging and/or RTR is used to verify that the waste stream does not contain liquid waste, explosives, non-radionuclide pyrophoric materials, compressed gasses, or reactive waste. Therefore, this waste stream does not exhibit the characteristics of ignitability (D001), corrosivity (D002), or reactivity (D003).

Indicate why no P015-listed waste even through beryllium was used at RFETS:

Beryllium parts were used in the manufacture/assembly of weapons components, and residual beryllium contamination of plutonium parts may have occurred. Any beryllium present on these parts is as a contaminant of the process and not as unused commercial chemical product, and therefore is

not a P015-listed waste. This waste stream includes beryllium metal waste (IDCs 489, Classified Be Scrap Metal Shapes, and 854, Beryllium Metal). Based on an evaluation of this waste and the processes that generated the waste, including chemical usage, this waste stream does not exhibit the characteristic of toxicity and was not mixed with any another listed waste.

Added Transportation paragraph as follows:

The payload containers in the waste stream must also comply with the TRUPACT-II Authorized Methods for Payload Control (TRAMPAC) requirements. Flammable volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were not identified in this waste stream based on the descriptions in the BWR Baseline Book and WSRIC Building Books, and headspace gas sampling and analysis. Therefore, flammable VOCs in the payload container headspace do not exceed 500 ppm.

Reason/Justification for Change:

Original profile did not incorporate the projected waste generation (4,465 m³) listed in the Revision 7 AK summary submitted with the original profile. Subsequent updates for classified IDCs, beryllium, etc., added only those specific containers and did not address the original profile underestimate. This update addresses the retrievably stored, newly generated, and projected inventory.

Other updates (e.g., sentence about single waste stream; paragraphs about screening for prohibited items, beryllium; addition of the transportation paragraph) were made to comply with current procedural requirements for preparation of AK Summaries and to make the document compositionally consistent with current AK Summaries prepared for recently approved waste streams.

Update for WIPP Operating Record (WSPF RF002.01) certification:

I hereby certify that I have reviewed the information in this Update for WIPP Operating Record, and it is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I understand that this information will be made available to regulatory agencies and that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Signature de Site Project Manager

G. A. O'Leary, Manager TRU Programs

Printed Name and Title

Date



Department of Energy

Carlsbad Area Office
P. O. Box 3090
Carlsbad, New Mexico 88221

March 9, 2000

John Kieling, Manager
Hazardous Waste Permits Program
Hazardous and Radioactive Materials Bureau
New Mexico Environment Department
P.O. Box 26110
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-6110

Subject:

TRANSMITTAL OF APPROVED WASTE STREAM PROFILE FORM FOR

ROCKY FLATS ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGY SITE; WASTE

STREAM RF002.01

Dear Mr. Kieling:

The Department of Energy, Carlsbad Area Office has approved the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site Waste Stream Profile Form for Waste Stream RF002.01, Rev. 3. Enclosed is a copy of the approved form as required by Section B-4(b)(1) of the WIPP's Hazardous Waste Permit No. NM4890139088--TSDF.

Please contact Mr. Jim Klaus at (505) 234-7350 should you have any questions regarding this approval.

Sincerely,

nes (Tuay) Dr. Inés R. Triay

Manager

cc:

S. Zappe, NMED

E. Rose, CAO

B. Stroud, CAO

C. Zvonar, CAO

C. Walker, TechLaw

G. Barnes, WID

J. Epstein, WID

K. Mikus, WID (Operating Record)

L. Steven, WID

M. Whatley, WID

MAIL ROOM COPY

0001050



ווווע סט פט־אווו

WIPP WASTE STREAM PROFILE FORM

RF002.01, Revision 3 Page 1 of 8 March 9, 2000

Waste Stream Profile Number: RF002.01	- 1 to the standards - Eric DiAmico
Generator site name: RFETS	Technical contact: <u>Eric D'Amico</u>
Generator site EPA ID: CO7890010526	Phone number: <u>(303) 966-5362</u>
A CARL MARKET D. 2000	Tooknology Sto
Title, version number, and date of documents used for WAC certification Program Quality Assurance Project Internation Program Quality Assurance Project Internation (TRU) Waste Management Manual, Revision 3, 1-MA Transurance (TRU) Waste Management Manual Manual Manual Manual Manual Management Manual Manu	N-008-WM-001, December 1999, WIPP Waste
Acceptance Criteria, DOE/WIPF-009, Revision / Does with the Did your facility generate this waste? ☑Yes ☐ No If no, provide	the name and EPA ID of the original generator:
Did your facility generate this waster a res a res	
(1)	
Waste Stream Information (1) WIPP ID [WTWBIR ID (HQ ID)]: RF-TT0320 (RF-W111), RF-TT0-	479 (RF-W109), RF-TT0480 (RF-W109),
WIPP ID [WTWBIR ID (HQ ID)]: <u>RF-110320 (RF-W111)</u> , <u>RF-TR0479 (RF-W109)</u> , <u>RF-TR0320 (RF-W111)</u> , <u>RF-TR0479 (RF-W109)</u>	-W109) RF-TR0480 (RF-W109)
RF-TT0481 (RF-W109), RF-TR0320 (RF-W111), RF-TR0476 (N)	le Group: Uncategorized Metal
Summary Category Group: S5000 Waste Matrix Cod	1
Waste Stream Name: Metal/TRU and Heavy Metal (non-SS)/TRI Description from the WTWBIR: Includes items such as glovet	
Description from the WTWBIR: Includes items such as glover consists of tantalum, tungsten, and platinum scrap such as crucit	ples, funnels, rods, and fixtures,
Consists of tantalum, turnstern one guarantees of tantalum, turnstern	•
Number of Drims 922	Number of Canisters N/A
Number of SWBs 194 Data package numbers supporting this waste stream characterized	ation: See Table 7.
None Codes(Z): None	
List applicable EPA Hazardous Waste Codes 7. None Applicable TRUCON Content Códes: RF 117A, RF 117B, RF 11	7C, RF 117D, RF 117E, RF 117F, RF 117N
Applicable 1R0C014 Collisis Collisis	
Acceptable Knowledge Information(1) [For the following, enter supporting the documentation used (i.e. Required Program Information Map of site: Reference List, No. 3	, references and dates)]
- ""incion description: Reference List, No. 3	
Description of operations that generate waste: Reference	ce List, Nos. 1, 2, 3, 6
Waste identification/categorization schemes: Reference	e List, Nos. 11, 12
Waste identification actions to constraint. Reference Lis	t. Nos. 1, 2, 3
 Types and quantities of waste generated. <u>Netertains est</u> Correlation of waste streams generated from the same but 	ilding and process, as appropriate: Reference List.
Nos. 1, 2, 6	
Waste certification procedures: Reference List, No. 5	
A Discom Information	
Assa(s) and building(s) from which the waste stream was	generated: Reference List, Nos. 1, 2, 6
• Waste stream volume and time period of generation:	Reference List, Nos. 4, 0
 Waste generating process description for each building: 	Reference List, Nos. 1, 2, 6
Deference Liet Noc 1 2	
 Process flow diagrams: Reference List, Nos. 1, 2 Material inputs or other information identifying chemical/r. 	adionuclide content and physical waste form:
Reference List, Nos. 1, 2, 3, 6	
Defense Activity generated the waste: (Check on	e) Reference List, No. 3
and activities including defense inertial confine	ment tusion Li Havai reacció de l'element
and popular technology	Deletise research and development
The supplemental by products making the produc	nagement Defense nuclear materials production
Detense nuclear waste and materials security and s	afeguards and security investigations

WIPP WASTE STREAM PROFILE FORM

RF002.01, Revision 3 Page 2 of 8 March 9, 2000

Supr	olemental Documentation
•	Process design documents: N/A
	Standard operating procedures: N/A
•	Worte packaging logs: N/A
,	The live and the project reports: N/A
•	Site data bases: N/A
	to formation from site personnel: N/A
•	Storidard Industry documents: N/A
•	Dravious analytical data: N/A
	total data chapter N/A
•	Sampling and analysis data from comparable/surrogate Waste: N/A
	Laboratory notebooks: N/A
San	poling and Analysis Information(1)
iFo.	r the following, when applicable, enter procedure title(s), number(s) and date(s)]
	Redicamphy: Reference List, Nos. 8, 9
回	Visual Examination: Reference List, No. 7
[2]	Lindrago Cas Analysis
	D. Carpoo List No. 10
	Flammable: Reference List, No. 10
	(α;6)· N/Δ
	Homogeneous Solids/Soils/Gravel Sample Analysis_ (Tables 1, 3, 4, and 5 are not applicable and not included)
	Total metals N/A
	DODG N/A
	WA NA
	Semi-VOCs: N/A
	Nonhalogenated VCCs. N/A Semi-VOCs: N/A Other (specify): N/A
W	aste Stream Profile Form certification:
1	aste Stream Prome Form Certification. hereby certify that I have reviewed the information in this Waste Stream Profile Form, and it is complete and hereby certify that I have reviewed the information in this information will be made available to regulatory courage to the best of my knowledge. I understand that this information including the possibility of fines and
ac	ccurate to the best of my knowledge. I understand that this information will be inside a describing the possibility of fines and gencies and that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and
aç	gencies and that there are significant penalities for submitting fallor information and the submitted are significant penalities for submitting fallor information.
in	appronment to knowning violed on the
	M () () () () () () () () () (
-	G. A. O'Leary Printed Name and Title Date

Use back of sheet or continuation sheets, if required. NOTE (1)

If radiography, visual examination, headspace gas analysis, and/or homogeneous solids/soils/gravel sample analysis were used to determine EPA Hazardous Waste Codes, attach signed summary reports documenting this determination. (2)

IIIII, OO OO IIIO 12 - 1 - 1 - 1

RF002.01, Revision 3
Page 3 of 8
March 9, 2000

REFERENCE LIST

WIPP WASTE STREAM PROFILE FORM

- Backlog Waste Reassessment Baseline Book, Waste Form 24, Metal, May 1999, and Waste Form 25, Heavy Metal, January 1999.
- Waste Stream and Residue Identification and Characterization (WSRIC), Revision 6, and archived versions.
- RFETS TRU Waste Acceptable Knowledge Supplemental Information, RF/RMRS-97-018, Revision 6, November 1999.
- Waste and Environmental Management System (WEMS) database.
- Transuranic Waste Certification, 1-PRO-X05-WC-4018, Revision 0, May 1997.
- 6. Acceptable Knowledge TRU/TRM Waste Stream Summaries, RMRS-WIPP-98-100, Revision 6, December 1999.
- Visual Examination for the TRU Waste Characterization Program, 4-H80-776-ASRF-007, Revision 2, December 1999.
- Real-Time Radiography Testing of Transuranic and Low-Level Waste, 4-W30-NDT-00664, Revision 2, November 1999.
- Real-Time Radiography Testing of Transuranic and Low-Level Waste in Building 569, 4-I19-NDT-00569, Revision 3, November 1999.
- 10. GC/MS Determination of Volatile Organics Waste Characterization, L-4111-Q, November 1999.
- 11. Waste Characterization, Generation, and Packaging, 1-PRO-079-WGI-001, Revision 0, November 1997.
- 12. Waste Characterization Program Manual, 1-MAN-036-EWQA-Section 1.6.1, Revision 1, December 1999.

וואע-חפ-חח וווח זריים ייי

WIPE JASTE STREAM PROFILE FORM **ATTACHMENTS**

RF002.01, Revision 3 Page 4 of 8 March 9, 2000

Form A Reconciliation with Data Quality Objectives

I certify by signature (below) that sufficient data have been collected to determine the following Program-required waste parameters:

WSPF	#	RF0	02.	01
------	---	-----	-----	----

Check Box Reconciliation Parameter	wspf.#	RF002.0				
Item			T. T			
1	Item		Reconciliation Parameter			
The waste matrix code identified is consistent with the type of sampling and allarysts deed to characterize the waste. Container mass and activities of each radionuclide of concern as reported in WEMS. Each waste container of waste contains TRU radioactive waste. Mean concentrations, UCLso for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and the number of samples collected for each VOC in the headspace gas of waste containers in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Mean concentrations, UCLso for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and number of samples collected for VOCs in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Mean concentrations, UCLso for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, number of samples collected for SVOCs in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Mean concentrations, UCLso for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and number of samples collected for metals in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Sufficient number of samples was taken to meet statistical sampling requirements. Only validated data were used in the above calculations, as documented through the site data review and validation forms and process. Waste containers were selected randomly for sampling, as documented in site procedures. The potential flammability of TRU waste headspace gases. Sufficient number of waste containers was visually examined to determine with a reasonable level of certainty that the UCLso for the miscertification rate is less than 14 percent. Whether the waste stream exhibits a toxicity characteristic (TC) under 40 CFR Part 261, Subpart C. All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The coverall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in th		1	Waste Matrix Code as reported in WEMS.			
The waste matrix code identified is consistent with the type of sampling and allarysts deed to characterize the waste. Container mass and activities of each radionuclide of concern as reported in WEMS. Each waste container of waste contains TRU radioactive waste. Mean concentrations, UCLso for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and the number of samples collected for each VOC in the headspace gas of waste containers in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Mean concentrations, UCLso for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and number of samples collected for VOCs in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Mean concentrations, UCLso for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, number of samples collected for SVOCs in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Mean concentrations, UCLso for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and number of samples collected for metals in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Sufficient number of samples was taken to meet statistical sampling requirements. Only validated data were used in the above calculations, as documented through the site data review and validation forms and process. Waste containers were selected randomly for sampling, as documented in site procedures. The potential flammability of TRU waste headspace gases. Sufficient number of waste containers was visually examined to determine with a reasonable level of certainty that the UCLso for the miscertification rate is less than 14 percent. Whether the waste stream exhibits a toxicity characteristic (TC) under 40 CFR Part 261, Subpart C. All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The coverall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in th	2	1	Waste Material Parameter Weights for individual containers as reported in Weiling and analysis used to			
characterize the waste. 4		7	The waste matrix code identified is consistent with the type of sampling and analysis used to			
Each waste container of waste contains TRU radioactive Waste.			the contains the wests			
Each waste container of waste contains TRU radioactive Waste.	4	. /	Container mass and activities of each radionuclide of concern as reported in VVE.MC.			
of samples collected for each VOC in the headspace gas of waste containers in the stream/waste stream lot. N/A Mean concentrations, UCL ₉₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and number of samples collected for VOCs in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Mean concentrations, UCL ₉₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, number of samples collected for SVOCs in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Mean concentrations, UCL ₉₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and number of samples collected for metals in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Sufficient number of samples was taken to meet statistical sampling requirements. Waste containers were used in the above calculations, as documented through the site data review and validation forms and process. Waste containers were selected randomly for sampling, as documented in site procedures. Waste containers were selected randomly for sampling, as documented in site procedures. Sufficient number of waste containers was visually examined to determine with a reasonable level of certainty that the UCL ₉₀ for the miscertification rate is less than 14 percent. Whether the waste stream exhibits a toxicity characteristic (TC) under 40 CFR Part 261, Subpart C. All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream or waste stream lot. The overall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream		1	- 1 - 4 In compaine TRIT radioactive Waste.			
of samples collected for each VOC in the headspace gas of waste containers in the stream/waste stream lot. N/A Mean concentrations, UCL ₉₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and number of samples collected for VOCs in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Mean concentrations, UCL ₉₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, number of samples collected for SVOCs in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Mean concentrations, UCL ₉₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and number of samples collected for metals in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Sufficient number of samples was taken to meet statistical sampling requirements. Waste containers were used in the above calculations, as documented through the site data review and validation forms and process. Waste containers were selected randomly for sampling, as documented in site procedures. Waste containers were selected randomly for sampling, as documented in site procedures. Sufficient number of waste containers was visually examined to determine with a reasonable level of certainty that the UCL ₉₀ for the miscertification rate is less than 14 percent. Whether the waste stream exhibits a toxicity characteristic (TC) under 40 CFR Part 261, Subpart C. All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream or waste stream lot. The overall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream		V	Mean concentrations, UCL ₈₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and the finance			
stream/waste stream lot. Mean concentrations, UCL ₅₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and number of samples collected for VOCs in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Mean concentrations, UCL ₅₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, number of samples collected for SVOCs in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Mean concentrations, UCL ₅₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and number of samples collected for metals in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Sufficient number of samples was taken to meet statistical sampling requirements. Only validated data were used in the above calculations, as documented through the site data review and validation forms and process. Waste containers were selected randomly for sampling, as documented in site procedures. The potential flammability of TRU waste headspace gases. Sufficient number of waste containers was visually examined to determine with a reasonable level of certainty that the UCL ₅₀ for the miscertification rate is less than 14 percent. Whether the waste stream exhibits a toxicity characteristic (TC) under 40 CFR Part 261, Subpart C. All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The overall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. Whether the waste stream or waste stream lot. Whether the waste stream or be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent	"		of samples collected for each VOC in the headspace gas of waste committee in the			
samples collected for VOCs in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary categories and \$4000. N/A Mean concentrations, UCL ₉₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, number of samples collected for SVOCs in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories \$3000 and \$4000. N/A Mean concentrations, UCL ₉₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and number of samples collected for metals in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories \$3000 and \$4000. N/A Sufficient number of samples was taken to meet statistical sampling requirements. Only validated data were used in the above calculations, as documented through the site data review and validation forms and process. Waste containers were selected randomly for sampling, as documented in site procedures. The potential flammability of TRU waste headspace gases. Sufficient number of waste containers was visually examined to determine with a reasonable level of certainty that the UCL ₉₀ for the miscertification rate is less than 14 percent. Whether the waste stream exhibits a toxicity characteristic (TC) under 40 CFR Part 261, Subpart C. All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The overall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream lot. The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream lot. Whether the waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent whether the waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent	l	1	1 . 1 . 1 . 1 1			
and S4000. Mean concentrations, UCL ₉₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, number of samples collected for SVOCs in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Mean concentrations, UCL ₉₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and number of samples collected for metals in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Sufficient number of samples was taken to meet statistical sampling requirements. Only validated data were used in the above calculations, as documented through the site data review and validation forms and process. Waste containers were selected randomly for sampling, as documented in site procedures. The potential flammability of TRU waste headspace gases. Sufficient number of waste containers was visually examined to determine with a reasonable level of certainty that the UCL ₉₀ for the miscertification rate is less than 14 percent. Whether the waste stream exhibits a toxicity characteristic (TC) under 40 CFR Part 261, Subpart C. All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The overall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or. Whether the waste stream or waste stream lot.	7	N/A	Mean concentrations, UCL ₉₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and finite of			
N/A Mean concentrations, UCL ₉₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, number of samples collected for SVOCs in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Mean concentrations, UCL ₉₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and number of samples collected for metals in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Sufficient number of samples was taken to meet statistical sampling requirements. Only validated data were used in the above calculations, as documented through the site data review and validation forms and process. Waste containers were selected randomly for sampling, as documented in site procedures. The potential flammability of TRU waste headspace gases. Sufficient number of waste containers was visually examined to determine with a reasonable level of certainty that the UCL ₉₀ for the miscertification rate is less than 14 percent. Whether the waste stream exhibits a toxicity characteristic (TC) under 40 CFR Part 261, Subpart C. All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The overall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal of a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or non-hazardous at the 90-percent of the waste stream or non-hazardous at the 90-percent part of the waste stream or non-hazardous at the 90-percent percent percent waste stream or non-hazardous at the 90-percent percent percent perce	1	1	samples collected for VOCs in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary categories collected for VOCs in the waste stream/waste stream lot.			
samples collected for SVOCs in the waste stream waste stream tot. 9 N/A Mean concentrations, UCL ₅₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and number of samples collected for metals in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. 10 N/A Sufficient number of samples was taken to meet statistical sampling requirements. 11		ļ	and \$4000.			
S3000 and S4000. N/A Mean concentrations, UCL ₅₀ for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and number of samples collected for metals in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. N/A Sufficient number of samples was taken to meet statistical sampling requirements. Only validated data were used in the above calculations, as documented through the site data review and validation forms and process. Waste containers were selected rendomly for sampling, as documented in site procedures. Waste containers were selected rendomly for sampling, as documented in site procedures. The potential flammability of TRU waste headspace gases. Sufficient number of waste containers was visually examined to determine with a reasonable level of certainty that the UCL ₅₀ for the miscertification rate is less than 14 percent. Whether the waste stream exhibits a toxicity characteristic (TC) under 40 CFR Part 261. Subpart C. All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The overall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or non-hazardous at the 90-percent form for a waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent	8	N/A	Mean concentrations, UCL90 for the mean concentrations, stream lot. Summary Categories			
9 N/A Mean concentrations, UCLso for the mean concentrations, standard deviations, and number of samples collected for metals in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000 and S4000. 10 N/A Sufficient number of samples was taken to meet statistical sampling requirements. 11		1				
samples collected for metals in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Cummary obtagonal S4000. N/A Sufficient number of samples was taken to meet statistical sampling requirements. Only validated data were used in the above calculations, as documented through the site data review and validation forms and process. Waste containers were selected randomly for sampling, as documented in site procedures. The potential flammability of TRU waste headspace gases. Sufficient number of waste containers was visually examined to determine with a reasonable level of certainty that the UCLso for the miscertification rate is less than 14 percent. Whether the waste stream exhibits a toxicity characteristic (TC) under 40 CFR Part 261, Subpart C. All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The overall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream or non-hazardous at the 90-percent waste stream or waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent			tor the mean concentrations standard deviations, and number of			
and \$4000. 10 N/A Sufficient number of samples was taken to meet statistical sampling requirements. 11	9	N/A	Mean concentrations, UCLs for the mean concentration of the concentrations, in the waste stream/waste stream lot. Summary Categories S3000			
10 N/A Sufficient number of samples was taken to meet statistical sampling requirements. 11		-	samples collected for friends in the whole should be samples.			
Only validated data were used in the above calculations, as documented through the site data review and validation forms and process. Waste containers were selected randomly for sampling, as documented in site procedures. The potential flammability of TRU waste headspace gases. Sufficient number of waste containers was visually examined to determine with a reasonable level of certainty that the UCL ₅₀ for the miscertification rate is less than 14 percent. Whether the waste stream exhibits a toxicity characteristic (TC) under 40 CFR Part 261, Subpart C. All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The overall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream or waste stream or non-hazardous at the 90-percent			to the state of th			
review and validation forms and process. Waste containers were selected randomly for sampling, as documented in site procedures. The potential flammability of TRU waste headspace gases. Sufficient number of waste containers was visually examined to determine with a reasonable level of certainty that the UCL ₅₀ for the miscertification rate is less than 14 percent. Whether the waste stream exhibits a toxicity characteristic (TC) under 40 CFR Part 261, Subpart C. All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The overall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream in the 90-percent waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent			Sundern number of samples was taken by a shown calculations, as documented through the site data			
12 ✓ Waste containers were selected randomly for sampling, as documented in site procedures. 13 ✓ The potential flammability of TRU waste headspace gases. 14 ✓ Sufficient number of waste containers was visually examined to determine with a reasonable level of certainty that the UCL ₅₀ for the miscertification rate is less than 14 percent. 15 ✓ Whether the waste stream exhibits a toxicity characteristic (TC) under 40 CFR Part 261. 16 ✓ All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. 17 ✓ The overall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. 18 ✓ The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream lot. 19 ✓ Whether the waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent	11	1	this and sullidation forms and hmcess			
The potential flammability of TRU waste headspace gases. Sufficient number of waste containers was visually examined to determine with a reasonable level of certainty that the UCL ₅₀ for the miscertification rate is less than 14 percent. Whether the waste stream exhibits a toxicity characteristic (TC) under 40 CFR Part 261. Subpart C. All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The overall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream lot. Whether the waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent			Weste containers were selected randomly for sampling, as documented in site procedures.			
Sufficient number of waste containers was visually examined to determine with a reasonable level of certainty that the UCL ₅₀ for the miscertification rate is less than 14 percent. Whether the waste stream exhibits a toxicity characteristic (TC) under 40 CFR Part 261, Subpart C. All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The overall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. Whether the waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent						
level of certainty that the UCLs for the miscertrication rate is less than 14 percent. 15			To come number of wests containers was visually examined to determine with a resolution			
Whether the waste stream exhibits a toxicity characteristic (TC) tinder 40 CT NT 5.7. Subpart C. All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The overall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. Whether the waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent	14	7	I have a statute the the tip of the miscertification (SIE IS 1855 UIGH) in percent			
Subpart C. All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The overall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. Whether the waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent	12	 	Whether the waste stream exhibits a toxicity characteristic (TC) under 40 CFR Part 261,			
All TICs were appropriately identified and reported in accordance with the requirements of the WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The overall completeness, comparability, and representativeness QAOs were met for each of the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. Whether the waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent	15	1 *				
WAP prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. Whether the waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent	46	1	- which identified and reported in accompance with the requirements of the			
The overall completeness, comparability, and representativeness cances where the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections B3-2 through B3-9 prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. Whether the waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent	1 10	1	I was a life when the of a wasta stroom profile form for a wasta successful of make an arministration			
the analytical and testing procedures as specified in the WAP Sections but all the submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. Whether the waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent	47	+				
to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream profile The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal of a waste stream profile form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. Whether the waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent	4 "	1	I was a real and tenting procedures as specified in the WAF Decilotic Doc Milestin Part Prior			
The RTLs (i.e., PRQLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal or a waste stream form for a waste stream lot. Whether the waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent	1					
form for a waste stream or waste stream lot. 19 Whether the waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-hazardous at the 90-percent	10	+	The RTI's (i.e., PROLs) for all analyses were met prior to submittal or a waste saleship promo			
Whether the waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-nazardous at the so-percent	1 '°					
confidence limit.	19	1	Whether the waste stream can be classified as hazardous or non-nazardous at the 90-percent			
	''		confidence limit.			

Check (/) indicates that data or acceptable knowledge are sufficient to determine the waste parameters and that the waste parameters have been reported in the listed document or database. N/A indicates parameter does not apply to waste stream. NO indicates data are insufficient.

All containers used to profile this waste stream were visually examined.

Signature of Site Project Manager

G. A. O'Leary **Printed Name**

WIPP WASTE STREAM PROFILE FORM ATTACHMENTS

RF002.01, Revision 3 Page 5 of 8 March 9, 2000

Data Summary Report—Table 2: Headspace Gas Summary Data

WSPF # RF002.01

A	#	Maximum	Mean	SD	UCL ₉₀	RTL	EPA Code
ANALYTE	Samples	(ppmv)	(ppmv)	(ppmv)	(ppmv)	(ppmv)	EPA Code
1,1-Dichloroethane						NA 10	
1,2-Dichloroethane		<u> </u>				10	
1,1-Dichloroethylene						10	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene						NA I	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane						10	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane			<u> </u>			10	
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-						10	
Trifluoroethane				0.04	3.61	100	
Acetone	12	10.6	2.85	2.94		100	ļ
Benzene	1 1	1.3	0.19	0.22	0.25	NA NA	
Bromoform	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	100	
Butanol	1				<u> </u>	100	<u> </u>
Carbon disulfide				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	10	
Carbon tetrachloride					<u> </u>		
Chlorobenzene			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		10	
Chloroform			1	1	0.04	10	ļ
Elhyl benzene	2	1.0	0.19	0.18	0.24	100	
Ethyl ether				1	1 6 3 7	100	
Methanol	2	25.8	5.6	4.5	6.77	100	
Methyl ethyl ketone	6	6.3	1.58	1.52	1.97	100	
Methyl isobutyl ketone					1 0 40	100	
Methylene chloride	1	0.7	0.17	0.11	0.19		
o-Xylene	2	0.9	0.19	0.18	0.24	10	
m/p-Xylene	2	3,6	0.35	0.74	0.54	10	1
Tetrachloroethylene		<u> </u>			1	10	
Toluene	20	30.7	5.8	7.6	7.80	72.02	-
Trichloroethylene			1			10	

HILV OO OO HIIO TELTA TIT

WIPP ASTE STREAM PROFILE FORM ATTACHMENTS

RF002.01, Revision 3
Page 6 of 8
March 9, 2000

Data Summary Report—Table 2: Headspace Gas Summary Data (continued)

WSPF # RF002.01

TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUND	Maximum Observed Estimated Concentrations (ppmv)c	# Samples Containing TIC ^c
No TICs included in the 40 CFR 261 Appendix VIII list were detected in at least 25 percent of headspace gas samples for the waste stream lot.		

Did the data verify	the :	acceptable	knowledge?	ØY	es [] No

If not, describe the basis for assigning the EPA Hazardous Waste Codes:

NOTES:

- No entry indicates no associated EPA Code assigned to the waste stream.
- No entry indicates no additional target analytes.
- No entry indicates no detectable measurements available for statistics. The number entered in this column identifies the number of samples with a detectable result for the associated analyte. In these cases, statistics were performed using all the as-reported detectable results and one-half the reported method detection limit (MDL) for the remaining results identified as not detected. A total of twenty-six (26) field samples were collected and analyzed for this profile form.
- RTLs for headspace gas analysis results correspond to the analyte PRQL for analytes that are hazardous waste constitutents. "NA" means the analyte is not a hazardous waste constituent and so has no associated regulatory threshold.
- Limit used for evaluating EPA Hazardous Waste Code for toluene (Reference No. 3).

WIPP WASTE STREAM PROFILE FORM ATTACHMENTS

RF002.01, Revision 3

Page 7 of 8

March 9, 2000

Data Summary Report—Table 6: Exclusion of Prohibited Items

WSPF # RF002.01

The absence of prohibited items is documented through acceptable knowledge. The absence of free liquids, indicating no corrosive, ignitable or reactive waste, and the absence of pressurized containers has been verified by radiography or visual examination of each container in this waste stream or waste stream lot.

HUN OO OO THO TELLO ---

WIPP WASTE STREAM PROFILE FORM ATTACHMENTS

RF002.01, Revision 3

Page 8 of 8

March 9, 2000

Data Summary Report—Table 7: Correlation of Container Identification to Data Packages

WSPF # RF002.01

Drum No.	Headspace Sample Batch No.	Headspace VOC Data Package	VE Data Package	Radioassay Data Package	RTR Data Package
D19003	00C0462	HVOC-DP-00236	VE-2000-005	CIQ-98-012	6T1598
D57847	00C1045	HVOC-DP-00237	VE-2000-006	CIQ-98-015	6T1598
D64483	00C1046	HVOC-DP-00238	VE-2000-006	CIQ-98-010	6T1801
D64975	00C0462	HVOC-DP-00236	VE-2000-006	CPN-98-003	6T1598
D65226	00C1045	HVOC-DP-00237	VE-2000-004	CIQ-98-009	6T1598
D65678	00C0462	HVOC-DP-00236	VE-2000-006	CIQ-98-026	6T1598
D66214	00C1046	HVOC-DP-00238	VE-2000-005	CIQ-98-005	6T1601
D68384	00C0462	HVOC-DP-00236	VE-2000-005	CIQ-98-013	6T1598
D68691	00C0462	HVOC-DP-00236	VE-2000-005	CIQ-98-009	6T1598
D69007	00C1047	HVOC-DP-00239	VE-2000-006	CIQ-98-027	6T1601
D69086	00C0462	HVOC-DP-00236	VE-2000-005	CIQ-98-009	6T1598
D70380	00C1045	HVOC-DP-00237	VE-2000-006	CIQ-98-018	6T1598
D72026	00C1045	HVOC-DP-00237	VE-2000-006	CIQ-98-009	6T1598
D73365	00C1046	HVOC-DP-00238	VE-2000-006	CIQ-98-007	6T1601
D74729	00C1045	HVOC-DP-00237	VE-2000-005	CIQ-98-026	6T1598
D74778	00C1046	HVOC-DP-00238	VE-2000-005	CIQ-98-006	6T1601
D75604	00C1046	HVOC-DP-00238	VE-2000-005	CIQ-98-011	6T1601
D76814	00C1046	HVOC-DP-00238	VE-2000-005	CIQ-98-010	6T1601
D76909	00C1045	HVOC-DP-00237	VE-2000-006	CIQ-98-012	6T1598
D80680	00C1047	HVOC-DP-00239	VE-2000-006	CIQ-98-007	6T1601
D82192	00C1046	HVOC-DP-00238	VE-2000-006	CIQ-98-013	6T1601
D83403	00C1047	HVOC-DP-00239	VE-2000-006	CIQ-98-007	6T1601
D86071	00C1046	HVOC-DP-00238	VE-2000-006	CPN-98-006	6T1601
D86943	00C1046	HVOC-DP-00238	VE-2000-006	CIQ-98-008	6T1601
D86943	00C0461	HVQC-DP-00235	VE-2000-005	CIQ-99-017	5T0103
D92367	00C0461	HVOC-DP-00235	VE-2000-003	CPN-99-007	5T0103

Revision 7

Page 34

5.3 TRU Metal Debris Waste

Profile No. RF002.01

Acceptable Knowledge Waste Stream Summary

Waste Stream: Metal Wastes, RF-197, RF-320b, RF-480i, RF-824
Generation Buildings: <u>Buildings 371, 374, 559, 707, 771, 776, 777, 779, 881, 886, and 991^(6,7)</u>
Waste Stream Volume (Current): 922 55-Gallon Drums and 194 Standard Waste Boxes (6,7)
Generation Dates (Current): December 1981 – October 1999 (6.7)
Waste Stream Volume (Projected): 4,465 m³ (55-gallon drums and Standard Waste Boxes)(7,8,9)
Generation Dates (Projected): November 1 – September 2005 ^(8,9)
TRUCON Content Codes (1): RF 117A, RF 117B, RF 117C, RF 117D, RF 117E, RF 117F RF117N
Transuranic Waste Baseline Inventory Report Information (2)
WIPP Identification Numbers: <u>RF-TT0320</u> , <u>RF-TT0479</u> , <u>RF-TT0480</u> , <u>RF-TT0481</u> , <u>RF-TR0320</u> , <u>RF-TR0479</u> , <u>RF-TR0480</u>
Summary Category Group: <u>S5000</u> Waste Matrix Code Group: <u>Uncategorized Metal</u>
Waste Matrix Code: S5111 and S5119 (IDC 320 only)
Waste Stream Name: Metal/TRU and Heavy Metal (non-SS)/TRU
Description from the TWBIR: <u>Includes items such as gloveboxes</u> , <u>machinery</u> , <u>and empty containers</u> . <u>IDC 320 consists of tantalum</u> , <u>tungsten</u> , <u>and platinum scrap such as crucibles</u> , <u>funnels</u> , <u>rods</u> , and <u>fixtures</u> .
IUIIIICIDA ACCORDA MARCO CONTRACTOR ACCORDANCE ACCORDAN

Waste Stream Description

TRU metals consists of tantalum targets and subtargets (IDC 197), heavy non-special source (SS) metal (IDC 320), empty reusable cans (IDC 479), light metal (IDC 480), and light non-SS metal (unclassified) (IDC 481). The following table presents the waste matrix codes and waste material parameters for metal wastes.⁽³⁾

> Revision 7 Page 35

IDC	IDC Description	Waste Matrix Code	Waste Material Parameters	Weight % (Average)
197	Ta Target and	S5111, Metal Debris w/o Pb or Cd	Other Metal/s/Alloys	100%
.,.	Subtarget, To Be	·		
	Leached			
320	Heavy non-SS Metal	S5111, Metal Debris w/o Pb or Cd	Other Metal/s/Alloys	100%
479	Empty Cans	S5111, Metal Debris w/o Pb or Cd	Iron-based Metal/Alloys	100%
480	Light Metal	S5111, Metal Debris w/o Pb or Cd	Iron-based Metal/Alloys	93%
824	Light Man		Cellulosics (fiberboard liner)	3%
024			Plastics (liners) ²	3%
			Aluminum-based	1%
	,	•	Metal/Alloys	
481	Light non-SS Metal	S5111, Metal Debris w/o Pb or Cd	Iron-based Metal/Alloys	93%
401	(unclassified)		Cellulosics (fiberboard liner)	3%
	(unclassifica)	·	Plastics (liners)	3%
			Aluminum-based	1%
			Metal/Alloys	

Notes:

- 1. The average weight percent of cellulosic materials is based on RTR and includes the fiberboard liner.
- 2. The average weight percent of plastic materials is based on RTR and includes plastic liner bags.

IDC 197, Ta Target and Subtarget: Tantalum targets and subtargets are tantalum substrates used for coating processes. The materials are size-reduced prior to being repackaged. (10)

IDC 320, Heavy non-SS Metal: Non-stainless steel metals that are heavier than iron. Examples of this waste include crucibles, funnels, rods, and process fixtures made primarily from tantalum, tungsten, and platinum. Since 1987, lead has been segregated from this waste as IDC 321. (4.5)

IDC 479, Empty Reusable Cans: Stainless-steel cans used to manually transfer plutonium-contaminated materials between gloveboxes. Cans were typically recycled and reused. [5] IDC may be changed to 480 when material declared as waste.

IDC 480, Light Metal: Iron, copper, aluminum, stainless steel, galvanized metal, carbon steel, brass, bronze, and other common alloys. Metal waste items may include mechanical and electrical parts, tools, containers, scrap metals, piping wire, cable, guages, valves, foil, and planchets. (5) (5)

IDC 481, Leached Light Metal: This waste consists of light metal, primarily stainless-steel and aluminum equipment, that was rinsed to remove radioactive surface contamination. This IDC is no longer active and has been replaced by IDC 480.⁽⁵⁾

IDC 824, Light Metal TRU Waste: This IDC is assigned to light metal identified as being TRU waste. The metal types and waste items are the same as IDC 480.⁽⁵⁾

Revision 7
Page 36

Areas of Operation

TRU metal wastes have been generated by the following operations:

- Plutonium Production
- Plutonium Recovery and Purification
- Laboratory Operations
- Waste Treatment
- Research and Development
- Maintenance
- Residue Repackaging and Treatment
- Decontamination and Decommissioning Operations

Generation Processes

Metal wastes have been generated by nearly every operation on site. The following table provides the title of each generating process along with the corresponding WSRIC building and process number. A description of each of these processes, process flow diagrams, and details of each metal waste stream can be found in the WSRIC Building Books or archived WSRIC files.

Building	Process	Title	
		Building 371	
371	1	DCHP Preparation	
371	3	Repack Operations	
371	4	Analytical Lab	
371	5	Chemical Standards Laboratory	
371	. 6	PROVE Vacuum System	
371	7	Process Vent Scrubber	•
371	15	General Waste (RMMA)	
371	18	Heating, Ventilation, & Air Conditioning	
371	19	Caustic Waste Treatment System	
371	21	Nitrate Contaminated Residue Treatment	
371	22	Beryllium Parts Cleaning	•
371	. 23	Salt Residues Repack Project	
		Building 374	
374	1	Acid Neutralization	
		Building 559	
559	2	Dynamic Analysis	•
559	3	X-Ray Methods	
559	4	Infrared Analysis	
559	5	GC/MS Environmental Samples/RCRA Waste	
559	6 .	Thermal Analysis	
559	8	Miscellaneous Analyses	
559	9	Isotopic Analysis	
559	11	Nondestructive Analysis	
559	12	Uranium Analysis	

Revision 7 Page 37

Building	Process	Title
559	13	Gallium Analysis
559	14	Plutonium Assay
559	15	Carbon Analysis
559	16	Raschig Ring Analysis
559	21	Assay Of Uranium By Auto Titration
559	23	Sample Receiving
559	24	Sample Break In And Sample Cutting
559	25	Maintenance
559	28	ICP Spectroscopy
559	29	Atomic Absorption
559	30	General Waste
559	31	Extractions
559	33	GC AnalysisProduction Support
559	34	GC AnalysisProduction Support
559	35	Total Metals Digestion
559	36	Toxicity Characterization Leaching Procedure
559	37	Analysis Of Sulfides/Aqueous Solutions
559	3,7 38	Total And Amenable Cyanide Analysis
559	39	Analysis For Reactive Sulfides
559	40	Analysis For Reactive Cyanide
559	41	Sulfide Analysis
559	42	Cyanide Analysis
559	43	Mercury Analysis
559	44	Reactivity Characteristic Test of Pyrochemical Salts
559	45	Chromium (VI) Determination
559	48	Ion Chromatography
559	49	Radiochemical Operations
559	50	Particle Size Distribution
		Building 561
561	1	Filter Plenum Building
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Building 707
707	1	Module A
707	2	Module K/X-Y Retriever
707	3	Module J
707	4	Rolling/Forming, Module B
707	6	MachiningModule A
707	7	MachiningModule C
707	٠,	MachiningModule G
707	10	Electron Bombardment Brazing
707	11	Density Balance—Module B
707	12	Density Balance—Module C
· 707	16	Assembly—Superdry
707	17	Assembly - Welding and Cleaning
707 707	20	Inspection
	21	TestingModule H
707	23	
707	26	Briquetting Calibration Lab. Madula D
707		Calibration LabModule D
707	28	Nuclear Material Handling And Packaging

Revision 7

Page 38

Building	Process	Title	
707	30	Maintenance	
707	32	Duct Remediation	•
707	33	General Waste	
707	35	Module B Through H	
707	36	Deactivation/Decon/Decommissioning (D\3)	
707	37	Idle Equipment	
707	38	HEPA filter Media Testing	*
707	39	Salt Stabilization	
707	40	Residue Vitrification Study	
707	41	Dry Residue Repack	
707	42	Ash Residue Stabilization/Repack	
707	43	Salt Repack	
		Building 771	
771	1	High-Level Dissolution	
771	2	Low-Level Dissolution	
771	. 3	Cation Exchange	
771	4	Anion Exchange	-
771	5	Feed Evaporation	
771	6	Precipitation Feed Batching	
771	7	Precipitation	
771	8	Precipitation Filtrate Evaporation	
771	9	Calcination	
771	10	Hydrofluorination	e.
771	11	Reduction And Button Breakout	٠
771	.12	Miscellaneous Residue Processing	
771	13	Metal Burning	
771	14	Crushing And Grinding	
771	15	Spray Leach	
771	16	Oralloy Leach	
771	17	Oralloy (OY) Precipitation	
771	18	Special Recovery Anion Exchange	
771	19	Caustic Filtration	
771	20	Fume Scrubber	
771	. 21	Vacuum Systems	
771	23	Radioactive Inorganic Laboratory	
771	24	Chemical Standards Laboratory	
771	25	Chemical Technology	
771	26	Plutonium Metallurgy	
. 771	27	Plenums	
771	29	Maintenance	
771	31	Raschig Ring Removal	
771	32	Radiological Safety	
771	35	General Building Waste (RMMA)	
771	36	H-4 Support Vacuum Systems	
771	39	Solution Processing	
		Building 774	
774	1	Neutralization	***************************************
774	2	Basic Liquid Waste: First Stage	

Revision 7 Page 39

Building	Process	Title
774	3	Basic Liquid Waste: Second Stage
774	4	Precipitation/filtration
774	10	Microwave
		Building 776/777
776	1	Pyrochemical Processing
776	3	Advanced Size Reduction Facility
776	5	Coating
. 776	6	Utilities
776	9	MaintenancePipe Shop
776	10	MaintenanceSheet Metal Shop
776	11	Machine Shop
776	13	Supercompactor
776	14	General Building Waste
777	1	Special Weapons Projects
777	2	Disassembly
777	4	Briquetting
777	6	Super Dry
777	7	Machining
777	8	Density Balance
777	11	Inspection
777	12	Carbon tetrachloride System
777	13	Nuclear Assembly Technology
777	14	Trichloroethane Collection & Filtration
777	15	Calibration Laboratory
777	16	Coatings Laboratory
777	18	Plutonium Metallurgical Lab
777	20	Joining Pigma Welder
777	21	Joining CO2 Laser
777	23	General Building Waste
776_777	1	Advanced Size Reduction Facility
776_777	5	Supercompactor
776_777	6	General Building Waste
776 777	7	Nuclear Material Handling And Packaging
776_777	9	TCA Collection and Filtration
776 777		Carbon Tetrachloride System
		Building 779
779	2	Generic Residue Treatment Process Wastes
779	3	RTT-Direct Oxide Reduction
779	4	RTT-Molten Salt Extraction
779	- 8	RTT-Salt Recycle
•	9	Hydride-Hydride And Metal
779 770	10	Hydride-Hydride/Oxide
779 770	11	Hydride-Acid Leach
779		
779	14	Physical Metallurgy Non Physical Metallurgy
779	15	Non-Plutonium Physical Metallurgy
779	16	RTT-Plutonium Oxide Dissolution
779	17	RTT-Peroxide Precipitation
779	18	RTT-Residue Recovery Extraction

Revision 7
Page 40

Building	Process	Title	•
779	21	RTT-Ion Exchange Resin Project	
779	23	Pu Tech-Gas-Solid Kinetic Studies	
779	25	Nondestructive Lab Testing & Metal Study	
779	26	Surface Analysis Laboratory	
779	27	Pu Tech-Microbalance Pu Reaction Studies	
779	28	Utilities	
779	37	D&D Programs	
779	40	Deactivation	•
779	41	Ferrite Actinide TRTMT of TRU Mixed Oil	
		Building 886	
886	2	.Maintenance	
		Building 991	
. 991	1	Building Operations	
		D & D	
D&D	. 3	Low-Level & TRU, Nonhazardous Waste Streams	

RCRA Characterization

The following table presents the chemical constituent codes (CCC) and EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers associated with the BWR Subpopulations and WSRIC Waste Streams assigned to TRU metal waste containers. Supporting characterization information is provided in the BWR Baseline Book, active WSRIC Building Books, and WSRIC archived files.

IDC	BWR Subpopulation	WSRIC Waste Stream	RCRA CCC	Non-RCRA CCC	EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers
		Ta Targets	and Subtarg	ets	. •
0197		707 - 41 - 1	00	00	None
		Heavy N	on-SS Meta	Ī	
0320		371 - 15 - 134	. 00	00	None
0320		371 - 15 - 135	00	00	None
0320		371 - 23 - 7	00	00	None
0320	•	707 - 1 - 37	00	00	None
0320		707 - 3 - 5	00	00	None
0320		707 - 39 - 9	00	00	None
0320		707 - 43 - 8	00	00	None
0320		776_777 - 6 - 138	00	00	None
0320		779 - 37 - 24	00	00	None
0320		779 - 40 - 87	00	00	None
0320		779 - 40 - 112	00	07	None
0320	•	D&D - 3 - 14	00	00	None
0320	25A	•	00	. 00	None
		Empty F	Reusable Car	is	
0479		707 - 41 - 15	00	00	None
0479	24A	•	00	00	None

Revision 7 Page 41

IDC	BWR Subpopulation	WSRIC Waste Stream	RCRA CCC	Non-RCRA CCC	EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers
	F		ht Metal		
0480		371 - 3 - 6	00	00	None
0480		371 - 4 - 19	00	00	None
0480	·	371 - 5 - 6	00	0264	None ·
0480	4 · *	371 - 6 - 3	00	00	None
0480		371 - 15 - 7	00	00	None
0480	٠	371 - 15 - 33	00	00	None .
0480		371 - 15 - 97	00	00	None
0480		371 - 19 - 12	00	00	None
0480	•	371 - 19 - 13	00	00	None
0480	•	371 - 19 - 15A	00	68	None
0480		371 - 20 - 18	00	70	None
0480		371 - 21 - 3	. 00	02	None
0480		371 - 21 - 8	00	00	None
0480		371 - 21 - 17	00	70	None
0480		371 - 22 - 3	00	07	None
0480		371 - 23 - 23	00	00	None
0480		374 - 1 - 11	00	00	None
0480		559 - 3 - 14	00	00	None
0480		559 - 4 - 27	00	00	None
0480	•	559 - 4 - 57	00	00	None
0480		559 - 5 - 20	00	00	None
0480		559 - 5 - 46	00	00	None
0480		559 - 6 - 14	00	00	None
0480		559 - 6 - 23	00	00	None
0480		.559 - 8 - 54	. 00	00	None
0480	-	559 - 9 - 11	00	02	None
0480		559 - 9 - 31	00	. 00	None
0480		559 - 14 - 4	00	02	None
0480		559 - 16 - 21	. 00	00	None
0480		559 - 21 - 12	00	00	None
0480		559 - 24 - 8	00	00	None
0480		559 - 25 - 4	00	00	None
0480	• .	559 - 25 - 19	00	00	None
0480		559 - 28 - 12	00	. 00	None
0480		559 - 30 - 38	00	00	None
0480	•	559 - 30 - 59	00	Ö0	None
0480		559 - 31 - 35	00	. 00	None
0480		559 - 33 - 21	00	00	None
0480		559 - 41 - 39	00	00	None
0480		559 - 42 - 36	. 00	00	None
0480	· ·	559 - 43 - 10	00	00	None
0480		559 - 44 - 3	00	00	None
0480		559 - 45 - 5	00	. 00	None
0480		559 - 48 - 6	00	00	None

RMRS-WIPP-98-100 Date Effective: 1/20/00 Revision 7

Page	Ā	2
Tage		4

IDC	BWR Subpopulation	WSRIC Waste Stream	RCRA CCC	Non-RCRA CCC	EPA Hazardous Waste Numbers
0480		559 - 49 - 7	00	00	None
.0480		559 - 50 - 5	00	00	None
0480		561 - 1 - 15	00	00	None
0480	•	707 - 1 - 39	00	00	None
0480		707 - 1 - 69	00	00	None
0480		707 - 2 - 8	00	10	None
0480		707 - 2 - 36	00	00	None
0480		707 - 3 - 8	00	00	None
0480		707 - 48	00	00	None
0480	•	707 - 4 - 20	00	00	None
0480		707 - 7 - 17	00	32	None
0480		707 - 30 - 9	00	00	None
0480		707 - 30 - 45	00	70	None
0480		707 - 32 - 8	00	00	None
0480	•	707 - 33 - 8	00	00	None
0480	•	707 - 35 - 19	. 00	00	None
- 0480		707 - 36 - 19	00	00	None
0480		707 - 36 - 36	00	70	None
0480		707 - 37 - 1	00	00	None
0480		707 - 38 - 6	00	00	None
0480		707 - 39 - 10	00	00	None
0480		707 - 40 - 4	00	00	None
0480		707 - 41 - 16	00	00	None
0480		707 - 41 - 27	00	00	None
0480		707 - 41 - 29	00	00	None
0480		707 - 42 - 11	00	00	None
0480		707 - 43 - 9	00	00	None
0480		771 - 23 - 8	00	00	None
0480	•	771 - 25 - 9	00	00	None
0480		771 - 26 - 7	00	00	None
0480		771 - 27 - 4	00	00	None
0480	•	771 - 29 - 5	00	32	None
0480		771 - 29 - 25	00	00	None
0480		771 - 31 - 4	00	. 00	None
0480		771 - 35 - 4	00	• 00	None
0480		771 - 35 - 12	00	00	None
0480	•	771 - 35 - 40	00	00	None
0480	•	771 - 35 - 71	00	70	None
0480	•	771 - 35 - 72	00	70	None
0480	• •	771 - 36 - 5	00	00	None
0480		771 - 39 - 10	00	00	None
0480		774 - 1 - 7	00	00	None
0480		774 - 2 - 16	00	00	None
0480	and the second s	774 - 4 - 5	00	00	None
0480		776 - 3 - 4	00	00	None

Revision 7
Page 43

mc	BWR	BWR WSRIC	RCRA	Non-RCRA	EPA Hazardous
IDC	Subpopulation	Waste Stream	CCC	CCC	Waste Numbers
)480		776 - 13 - 47	00	00	None
)480	•	776 - 14 - 38	00	00	None
0480		777 - 23 - 8	00	00	. None
0480	•	776_777 - 1 - 3.	00	00	None
0480		776_777 - 1 - 7	00	00	None
0480		776_777 - 5 - 6	00	00	None
0480	•	776_777 - 5 - 7	00	00	None
048 0		776_777 - 5 - 24	. 00	00	None
0480		776_777 - 6 - 26	00	.00	None
0480		776_777 - 6 - 125	00	. 07	None
0480	•	776_777 - 6 - 133	00	0770	None
048 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	776_777 - 7 - 1	00	00	None
048 0		776_777 - 9 - 9	. 00	00	None
0480		776_777 - 11 - 6	00	00	None
0480	·	779 - 2 - 2	00	00	None
0480		779 - 10 - 10	. 00	00	None
0480		779 - 14 - 6	00	00	None
0480		779 - 28 - 13	00	00	None
0480	•	779 - 37 - 15	. 00	00	None
0480		779 - 40 - 17	00	00	None
0480		779 - 40 - 18	00	00	None
0480		779 - 40 - 114	00	07	None
0480		886 - 2 - 14	00	00	None
0480		991 - 1 - 7	00	00	None
0480	٠.	D&D - 3 - 10	00	00	None
0480		D&D - 3 - 11	.00	00	None
0480		D&D - 3 - 12	00	24	None
0480		D&D - 3 - 48	00	70	None
0480	•	D&D - 3 113	00	70	None
0480	*	D&D - 3 114	00	70	None
0480	24B		. 00	00	None
0480	24D		00	00	None
		Leach	Light Metal		
0481	240		00	00	None
		TRU	Light Metal		
0824	24U	•	00	00	None

Radionuclides

The determination of radionuclides contained in the waste is based on the IDC and the material balance area (MBA) in which the waste originated. The first table summarizes the radionuclides present based on the assigned IDC and can be used for all TRU metal debris wastes. The second table summarizes the radionuclides present in the waste based on the generation location. The generation location was determined from the prefix of the container identification number. The

RMRS-WIPP-98-100 Date Effective: 1/20/00 Revision 7

Page 44

prefix corresponds to an MBA historically used to track the movement of special nuclear material. Since the Site is no longer in production, the second table is only applicable for certain IDCs generated before 1992 which have not been treated and/or repackaged. (3)

IDC	Radionuclides ^{1,2,3}	Rationale
197	WG Pu, EU	IDC generated by Building 777 coatings, which coated substrates with plutonium and enriched uranium (and non-radioactive metals).
320	WG Pu, Am-241, DU, EU, Np-237, U-2334	IDC generated in every TRU building; radionuclides dependent on generation process.
479	WG Pu	Transfer cans were used in plutonium recovery areas.
480 824	WG Pu, Am-241, DU, EU, Np-237, U-2334	IDC generated in nearly every TRU building; radionuclides dependent on generation process.
481	WG Pu, Am-241, DU, EU, Np-237, U-233	IDC generated from washing of metals originating from any process within the PA.

Key:	WG Pu	weapons-grade plutonium
•	Am-241	americium-241
	DU	depleted uranium
	EU	enriched uranium
	Np-237	neptunium-237
	U-233	uranium-233

Notes:

- 1. Only waste generated before 1986 may contain Np-237 because processing of this material was discontinued at this time.
- 2. Only waste generated before 1983 may contain U-233 because processing of this material was discontinued at this time.
- 3. Am-241 is indicated only for IDCs (unless notes otherwise) in which americium operations were performed (e.g., molten salt extraction). Am-241 is not indicated if it is expected to be present only due to plutonium-241 decay.
- 4. For backlog wastes (generated before 1992) that have not been treated and/or repackaged, radionuclides can also be determined based on the container prefix listed in the following table.

Building	Prefix	Prefix Description	Potential Radionuclides			
	Building 371					
371	0017	Residue Repack, Rm. 3602	Note 1			
• 371	0032	Aqueous Recovery	WG Pu, Am-241			
371	0034	Aqueous Recovery	WG Pu, Am-241			
371 .	0039	DCHP Preparation	WG Pu			
371	0043	Stacker Drums	Note 1			
371	0071	Analytical/Standards Laboratory	WG Pu, EU, DU, Am-241			
371	0073	Aqueous Recovery	WG Pu, Am-241			
371	0076	Repackaging Residues/PBA's	Note 1			
371	0092	Aqueous Recovery	WG Pu, Am-241			

Revision 7
Page 45

Building	Prefix	Prefix Description	Potential Radionuclides
371	0093	Aqueous Recovery	WG Pu, Am-241
		Building 374	
374	0749	Liquid Waste Operations	WG Pu, EU, DU, Am-241
217		Building 559	
559	0029	Analytical Lab, Production Support	WG Pu, EU, DU, Am-241
223	0025	Building 707	
707	0012	Metallurgy Operations, Casting	WG Pu, Am-241, EU ³
707	0012	Metal Fabrication, Part V	WG Pu
707	0013	Metal Fabrication, Machining	WG Pu
707	0022	Metallurgy Fabrication Assembly	WG Pu
707	0031	Building 771	
	0002		WG Pu, EU, DU, Am-241
771	0002	Aqueous Recovery	WG Pu
771	0005	Building 771 Second Floor Construction	WG Pu, EU, DU, Am-241
771	0009	Plenums	WG Pu, EU, DU, Am-241
771	0037	Analytical Laboratory Chemical Standards Laboratory	WG Pu, EU, DU, Am-241
771	0038		WG Pu, EU, DU, Am-241
771	0042	Chemical Technology EU Leach	EU, WG Pu
771	0074	Plutonium Metallurgy Development	WG Pu, EU, DU, Am-241
771	0078		W G T L, D G, D G, T L T T
	2002	Building 776	WG Pu, Am-241, EU
776	0003	Pyrochemical Operations	Note 1
776	0019	Size Reduction	Note 1
776	0025	Drum Repack Advanced Size Reduction	Note 1
776	0057		WG Pu
776	0075	Waste Process Development	Note 2
776	0776	Generation Prefix for Bldg. 776 Prefix 9069	Note 2
776	9069	Building 777	11002
	0016		WG Pu, EU
777	0016	Production Control Coatings Development	WG Pu, EU, DU, Am-241
777	0021	Metallography Laboratory	WG Pu, EU, Am-2414
777	0023	Metal Fabrication Machining Development Metallurgy Fabrication Disassembly	WG Pu, EU
777	0024	55	WG Pu, EU, DU, Am-241
777	0066	Special Assembly Projects	WG 1 u, EO, DO, Am-241
		Building 779	WC Dy Am 241 FII
779	0052	Pyrochemistry Technology Process Development	WG Pu, Am-241, EU
779	0054	R & D Residue Drums	WG Pu, EU, DU, Am-241 WG Pu
779	0055	Hydride Operation. Rooms 152A/160A	WG Pu, EU, DU
779	0077	Product Physical Chemistry VTR	WG Fu, EU, DU
		Building 881	
881	. 881A	Generation Prefix for Bldg. 881	Note 2
881	881C	Generation Prefix for Bldg. 881	Note 2
		Building 886	
886	0081	Nuclear Safety	WG Pu, EU
886	0084	Not Defined	Note 2

> Revision 7 Page 46

Key: WG Pu

weapons-grade plutonium

EU DU enriched uranium

Am-241

depleted uranium americium-241

Notes:

ı.

Wastes assigned these prefixes originated from other areas or were generated during repackaging of wastes from other areas. The repackaged containers were assigned the prefix for the area where the wastes were repackaged. The source of these wastes is difficult to determine and may include any radionuclides

processed on plant site.

 These prefixes have not been assessed for potential radionuclides. Radionuclide contaminants will be determined at assay.

3. Am-241 (above ingrowth) and U-235 were detected by radioassay in backlog wastes from Building 707, prefix 12. These radionuclides were not anticipated based on acceptable knowledge, but are being added for waste generated before 1992 from prefix 12 because of these results.

4. Am-241 (above ingrowth) was detected by radioassay in backlog wastes from Building 777, prefix 23. This radionuclide was not anticipated based on acceptable knowledge, but is being added for wastes generated before 1992 from prefix 23 because of these results.

References

- 1. DOE 1999. TRUPACT-II Content Codes (TRUCON), Revision 12. DOE/WIPP 89-004.
- 2. DOE 1995. Transuranic Waste Baseline Inventory Report, Revision 2. DOE/CAO-95-1121.
- 3. RMRS 1999. RFETS TRU Waste Acceptable Knowledge Supplemental Information. RF/RMRS-97-018, Revision 6.
- 4. RFETS 1999. Backlog Waste Reassessment Baseline Book, Waste Form 25, Heavy Metal.
- 5. RFETS 1999. Backlog Waste Reassessment Baseline Book, Waste Form 24, Metal.
- 6. Waste and Environmental Management System (WEMS) database.
- 7. RMRS 1999. Interoffice Memorandum from Jeff Harrison to TWCP Records. JLH-009-1999. November 1.
- 8. K-H 1999. Kaiser-Hill Interoffice Memorandum from K. P. Ferrera to G. A. O'Leary. KPF-014-99. April 15.
- 9. RMRS 1999. Interoffice Memorandum from Jeff Harrison to Eric D'Amico. JLH-010-1999. November 1.
- 10. RFETS 1999. Waste Stream and Residue Identification and Characterization Building 707, Version 6.0.